UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,866	08/24/2001	Aruna Rohra Suda	103251.58981US	1109
23911 7590 06/05/2009 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			DINH, KHANH Q	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/938,866	SUDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Khanh Q. Dinh	2451
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 I</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-46 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-46 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	oate

Application/Control Number: 09/938,866 Page 2

Art Unit: 2451

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to the Request for Continued Examination filed on 5/5/2009. Claims
 3-46 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrera, US pat. No.6,567,800 in view of Ferguson, US pat. No.6,769,019.

As to claim 1, Barrera discloses a content processing apparatus comprising:

means for initiating saving of a content of an Internet page displayed by the browser (storing a list of identifiers for websites, see abstract, fig.5, col.3 line 50 to col.4 line 15);

means for acquiring the content or the URL of the currently displayed page from the browser and means for indexing creating an index of the content of the currently displayed page (a dynamically generated web page) based on the content acquired by said means of acquiring, said means for indexing assigning the created index to the content acquired by said means for acquiring (storing the dynamic index including a list of identifiers of websites correspond to a selected category or categories, see col.4 line 16 to col.5 line 16); and

means for saving the acquired content with the assigned index in a predetermined storage unit upon initiation of saving through said means for initiating (a selected content stored at the website, see fig.6, col.5 lines 17-65).

Barrera does not specifically disclose in response to one click of a single button displayed on a browser. Ferguson discloses in response to one click of a single button displayed on a browser (user clicks and holds the left mouse button for saving a link in a browser, see fig.16, col.27 line 16 to col.28 line 61). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Ferguson's teachings into the computer system of Barrera to process content information because it would have enabled users view the desired URL links/web pages in the future in a communication network (see Ferguson's col.77 lines 15-43).

As to claim 3, Barrera discloses means for acquiring obtains the URL of the currently displayed page and said means for indexing assigns the URL or a selected part thereof to the content as the predetermined index (see col.4 lines 4-65).

As to claim 4, Barrera discloses means for acquiring obtains one of either a keyword or a title embedded in a page displayed in said browser, said means for indexing assigning a predetermined index to the keyword or the title to the content as the predetermined index (see fig.2, col.4 line 55 to col.5 line 46).

As to claim 5, Barrera discloses means for displaying one of either the keyword or the

Art Unit: 2451

title acquired by said means for acquiring (see col.4 lines 4-65).

As to claim 6, Barrera discloses the index includes a time when the content is saved (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claim 7, Barrera discloses means for sorting indices of the content in the storage unit and means for displaying a result of the sorting by said means for sorting (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claims 8 and 9, Barrera discloses designating an index from the indices displayed on said means for displaying, means for initiating deletion of a selected index and means for deleting the selected index, said deleting based on an instruction from said means for initiating deletion (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claim 10, Barrera discloses said content to which the selected index is assigned is maintained in the predetermined storage unit (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claim 11, Barrera discloses said content to which the selected index is assigned is removed from the predetermined storage unit (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

Art Unit: 2451

As to claim 12, Barrera discloses said means for initiating deletion selects an instruction from a menu displayed, said selection in response to a user-action (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claims 13 and 14, Barrera discloses displaying a URL of the content to which the selected index is assigned (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65). Ferguson discloses an apparatus being capable of recognizing said click (user clicks and holds the left mouse button for saving a link in a browser, see fig.16, col.27 line 16 to col.28 line 61). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Ferguson's teachings into the computer system of Barrera to process content information because it would have enabled users view the desired URL links/web pages in the future in a communication network (see Ferguson's col.77 lines 15-43).

As to claims 15 and 16, Barrera discloses informing whether the content of the page to which the selected index is assigned has been saved and providing information regarding time of saving content (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claims 17 and 18, Barrera discloses means for indexing assigns said index automatically and a means for retrieving content from said a predetermined storage unit (processing user request for data, see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claims 19-20, Barrera discloses wherein indices of said retrieved content are displayed to a user in accordance with the time of creation of said content associated with said indices and displayed to a user in accordance with organization name associated with content (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claims 21-22, Barrera discloses indices of said retrieved content are displayed to a user in accordance with keywords of said content and in a sorted order of domain names of Internet locations where said content was initially obtained (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

As to claim 23, Barrera discloses selecting an index to retrieve stored content causes said content to be displayed in a browser window actively being used (see fig.7, col.4 lines 4-65 and col.5 lines 2-65).

Claim 24 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 1.

As to claim 25, Barrera discloses retrieving an instruction for activating saving of the content of the currently displayed page (in fig.2, Yahoo! displaying the page shown in to the user, see fig.2, col.1 lines 26-56 and col.4 lines 16-46).

Claims 26-46 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 3-23 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,866 Page 7

Art Unit: 2451

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-46 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Claims 1, 3-46 are rejected.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3936. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for this

group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Khanh Dinh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451

Application/Control Number: 09/938,866

Page 8

Art Unit: 2451